| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | | |
|---|---|---|
| LASTERIV DISTRICT OF NEW TORK | X | |
| CHUKWUMA E. AZUBUKO, pro se, | | |
| Plaintiff, | | |
| -against- | | CHMM A DV ODDED |
| ADAM T. SANDOFSKY and BANK OF AMERICA, | | SUMMARY ORDER 08-CV-1676 (DLI) (LB) |
| Defendants. | X | |
| CHUKWUMA E. AZUBUKO, pro se, | | |
| Plaintiff, | | |
| -against- | | CHMMADY ODDED |
| UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT and WILLIAM K. SUTTER (THE CLERK)-IN OFFICIAL CAPACITY, | | SUMMARY ORDER 08-CV- 1677 (DLI) (LB) |
| Defendants. | | |
| DODA I IDIZADDY II C District Indeed | X | |
| DORA L. IRIZARRY, U. S. District Judge: | | |

By Order dated May 5, 2008, the Court consolidated these two complaints, dismissed them as frivolous, and warned plaintiff against future frivolous filings. Judgment was entered in both actions on May 8, 2008. By Order dated September 30, 2008, the Court denied plaintiff's motions for reconsideration filed in both actions. On October 9, 2008, plaintiff submitted what is labeled "Plaintiff's Motion for Three-Judge Court" in both actions. Plaintiff's motions are improper and may not be considered by this Court as both actions are CLOSED. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith and

| therefore, in forma pauperis status is denied for | or purpose of an appeal. Coppedge v. United States, |
|---|---|
| 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962). | |
| | |
| SO ORDERED. | |
| Dated: Brooklyn, New York November 18, 2008 | |
| _ | /s/ |
| | DORA L. IRIZARRY |
| | United States District Judge |